

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/539,981	Applicant(s) TRIPATHI ET AL.	
	Examiner: Trinh Vo Dinh	Art Unit 2821	

All Participants:

- (1) Trinh Vo Dinh (Examiner).
 (2) Gregory Thorne (Attorney).

Status of Application:

- (3) _____
 (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 April 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner pointed out a recitation of "as a function of the LED current relative to a peak threshold" was not presented in the base claim 1 at the time when claim 15 is indicated allowable. Therefore, the limitation must be removed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)